

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,991	10/30/2003	Manish Sharma	100111489-7	7875
75	7590 12/10/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WILSON, CHRISTIAN D	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2824	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office 4 - 4 - 4	10/696,991	SHARMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian Wilson	2824				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	'SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
	This action is non-final.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ⊠ Claim(s) 15 and 17-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ⊠ Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	drawn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to see Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞r 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the properties of	ion No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/696,991

Art Unit: 2824

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen *et al.* in view of Nakao.

Regarding claim 15, Chen *et al.* (US 6,292,389) teaches an MRAM device comprising a sense line 28, a pinned layer 26, a barrier layer 16 between the sense and pinned layers, a pinning layer 24 aligned with the pinned layer, and a magnetic sink 20 in electromagnetic communication of the device which modifies the magnetic field effects at the boundaries of a word line, pinned layer, and pinning line [column 5, lines 30-45]. Chen *et al.* teaches a memory device but does not discuss a plurality of lines or a pinning layer perpendicular to the sense lines. Nakao (US 6,509,621) teaches an MRAM device with a plurality of lines [Figure 3A] where the pinning layer is perpendicular to the sense lines [Figure 3B]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the MRAM configuration of Nakao in the device of Chen *et al.* since Nakao teaches that this configuration provides a simple construction which is suitable for high-density devices [column 1, lines 23-30].

Regarding claim 18, Chen *et al.* further teaches a magnetic sink layer that extends along the direction of the pinning line [Figure 1].

Application/Control Number: 10/696,991 Page 3

Art Unit: 2824

Regarding claim 19, Chen *et al.* further teaches a conductive non-magnetic layer 13 adjacent to the magnetic sink layer.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen *et al.* and Nakao as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Lin *et al.* 

Chen et al. as modified by Nakao teaches a nonmagnetic magnetic sink layer, but does not discuss a soft magnetic material for the magnetic sink layer. Lin et al. (6,127,053) teaches a nonmagnetic or soft magnetic material for the magnetic sink layer [column 6, lines 15-20]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the soft magnetic material of Lin et al. in the device of Chen et al. since Lin et al. teaches that a soft magnetic material is an equivalent material choice for providing a sink layer which provides a means to modify the crystallographic texture of the overlying layer.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: applicant discloses a novel magnetic sink layer which comprises a seed layer and a pinned layer which extends beyond the other layers and the first portion of the sink layer.

#### Conclusion

6. A copy of the EAST search history is enclosed.

Art Unit: 2824

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1886.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, 7:30 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian Wilson, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2824

**CDW**